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Summary:

This report follows the requirement of assignment and has a literally complete structure. Both scientific analysis and reasoning can be found in the content.

Strengths:

The report has a condensed format and drafted clearly on each step. Comparison between replication and original result is involved and it is a good point to touch on the reason why the reproduced values of R-squared doesn’t consist with values in the paper.

Weaknesses:

Since the replication was conducted by single person, it’s understandable that only R-squared and best value were replicated due to limitation of time and energy.

Clarity and writing:

The report is well drafted and easy to read.

Technical quality:

Even through relocation cannot cover whole paper, the author has a solid result on the selected part.